The Emerging Threat of Cephalosporin (& Multidrug) Resistant Gonorrhea



Robert D. Kirkcaldy, MD, MPH Division of STD Prevention National Center for HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, TB and STD Prevention Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

A Second and the seco

National Center for HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, STD, and TB Prevention Division of STD Prevention

Disclosure

I do not have any financial interest or affiliation with any organization that would influence the content of this presentation

Objectives

Understand current trends in Neisseria gonorrhoeae antimicrobial susceptibilities

Comprehend public health and clinical implications of gonococcal cephalosporin susceptibility trends

Overview

- Gonorrhea
- Resistance and treatment
- Surveillance of gonococcal resistance
- Emerging threat of cephalosporin resistance
- Conclusions

Gonorrhea

One of the oldest known human diseases

- Named by Galen (2nd century) ~ "flow of semen"
- Caused by Neisseria gonorrhoeae



- 2nd most commonly reported notifiable infection
- Can be asymptomatic
- Can cause
 - Pelvic inflammatory disease (PID)
 - Ectopic pregnancy
 - Infertility

May facilitate HIV transmission

Gonorrhea Rates, United States, 1941–2011



Neisseria gonorrhoeae (NG) Treatment

Prompt antimicrobial treatment limits sequelae, prevents transmission

Detection & treatment is cornerstone of NG control

Antimicrobial resistance

- Undermines treatment success
- Heightens risk of complications
- Facilitates transmission (by lengthening infectious period)

Treatment of Gonorrhea in the Pre-Antimicrobial Era

Patent Medicines

Intraurethral irrigation

- Mercurochrome
- Nitric acid
- Silver nitrate

Mechanical removal of strictures

- Sounds
- Dilators



Fig. 25.—Sound with Guyon curve

Baumann F. Gonorrhea: Its Diagnosis and Treatment. D. Appleton & Co. 1910

Antimicrobial Treatment of Gonorrhea

1930s

Sulfonamide therapy introduced

- Prontosil found to be effective
- *N.gonorrhoeae*rapidly developed resistance within several years (~30% resistance)

Antimicrobial Treatment of Gonorrhea 1930s Sulfonamide therapy introduced 1940s Penicillin proved effective

Becomestreatment of choice

Antimicrobial Treatment of Gonorrhea

Sulfonamide therapy introduced

Penicillin proved effective



1930s

1940s

Incremental penicillin resistance

- Penicillin dosage increased
- Probenicid added to extend half-life

Antimicrobial Treatment of Gonorrhea 1930s Sulfonamide therapy introduced 1940s Penicillin proved effective Incremental penicillin resistance 1970s High-level penicillin resistance 1980s (penicillinase-producing NG [PPNG]) Emerged in SE Asia and West Africa Spread globally

Treatment Options after Emergence of PPNG

Spectinomycin

No longer available in US

1980s – new antimicrobials became available

- Fluoroquinolones
 - Ciprofloxacin
 - Levofloxacin

Third-generation cephalosporins

- Ceftriaxone (injectable)
- Cefixime (oral)

The Gonococcal Isolate Surveillance Project (GISP)

- CDC-supported US sentinel surveillance since 1987
- Monitors trends in *N. gonorrhoeae* susceptibility to antimicrobials
- Methods
 - Urethral isolates obtained from first 25 men per clinical site each month
 - Susceptibility testing conducted by regional laboratories
 - Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) by agar dilution
 - Confirmatory testing by CDC
 - Limited demographic & clinical data



Measuring Antimicrobial Susceptibility

Agar dilution

- Grow bacteria on plates with varying antimicrobial concentrations
- Requires culture
- Gold standard but labor-intensive (done at reference laboratories)
- Provides minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) lowest antimicrobial concentration that inhibits bacterial growth in lab
 - Low MICs ~ susceptible
 - High MICs ~ resistant

Disc diffusion (Kirby-Bauer)
Etest

Measuring Antimicrobial Susceptibility

Agar dilution

- Gold standard
- Labor-intensive
- Done at reference laboratories
- Provides minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) lowest antimicrobial concentration that inhibits bacterial growth in lab

Disc diffusion (Kirby-Bauer)





Disc Diffusion (Kirby-Bauer)





Live bacteria

Disc Diffusion (Kirby-Bauer)



Results as diameter (in millimeters)

Dead

Live

bacteria

bacteria

Measuring Antimicrobial Susceptibility

Agar dilution

- Gold standa
- Labor-intens
- Done at refe
- Provides mir antimicrobia

Disc diffusion

bioMérieux Clinical Diagnostics

MIC 0.25 µg/ml



Live



Etest

Provides MIC

CDC STD Treatment Guidelines, 2002

- Cefixime 400 mg (oral, single dose)
- Ceftriaxone 125 mg (injectable, single dose)
- Ciprofloxacin 500 mg (oral, single dose)
- Ofloxacin 400 mg (oral, single dose)
- Levofloxacin 250 mg (oral, single dose)

If chlamydia is not ruled out:

- Azithromycin 1 g or
- Doxycycline 100 mg BID x 1 week



GISP, Gonococcal Isolate Surveillance Project, 1990–2007 Resistant isolates have ciprofloxacin MICs ≥1 µg/mI

Ciprofloxacin Resistance in *N.gonorrhoeae,* by Gender of Sex Partner, United States, 1999-2007



GISP, Gonococcal Isolate Surveillance Project, 1990–2007 Resistant isolates have ciprofloxacin MICs ≥1 µg/ml MSM, men who have sex with men

MSW, men who have sex exclusively with women

CDC STD Treatment Guidelines, 2007

Cefixime 400 mg po
Ceftriaxone 125 mg IM
Ciprofloxacin 500 mg po
Ofloxacin 400 mg po
Levofloxacin 250 mg po

Cephalosporins are only remaining class

& If CT is not ruled out

- Azithro 1 g or
- Doxycycline 100 mg BID x 1 week

Global Emergence of Resistance to Cephalosporins

 First reported oral cephalosporin treatment failure – Japan (2001)

- Additional treatment failures with oral cephalosporins (east Asia)
- Increasing cephalosporin MICs early warning for potential resistance
 - Japan
 - China
 - Australia



Global Emergence of Resistance to Cephalosporins

Increasing oral cephalosporin MICs (late 2000s)

- Europe
- Canada

 2009 – 1st high-level ceftriaxone resistant isolate (H041) from pharynx of CSW (Japan)

Cured, H041 has not since been detected

 Ceftriaxone-resistant isolates found in MSM in France, Spain

All were cured





Proportion of GISP Isolates with Elevated Cefixime MICs (≥0.25 µg/ml), United States, 2000–2011



Proportion of GISP isolates with Elevated Cefixime M ICs (≥0.25 µg/ml) by Region, 2006–2011

Percentage



Proportion of GISP isolates with Elevated Cefix in e M ICs (≥0.25 µg/ml) by Gender of Sex Partner, US, 2006–2011



Other Resistance in Isolates with Elevated Cefixime MICs, 2011–2012*



* Preliminary Jan-June

PenR=penicillin-resistant; QRNG = quinolone-resistant; TetR = tetracycline-resistant

Percentage of Isolates with Elevated Ceftriaxone M ICs (≥0.125 µg/m 1),2008–2011



Proportion of Isolates with Elevated Cefixime MICs (≥0.25 µg/ml), Chicago, 2006–2012*



* Preliminary (Jan-June)

Proportion of Isolates with Elevated Cefixime M ICs ($\geq 0.25 \mu g/ml$) by Sex of Sex Partner, Chicago, 2006–2012*



* Preliminary (Jan-June)

MSM = Men who have sex with men; MSW = Men who have sex exclusively with women

Updated GCTreatment Guidelines, 2012

Recommended

- Ceftriaxone 250 mg IM <u>PLUS</u>
- Azithro 1 g or Doxycycline

Alternatives

- Cefixime 400 mg <u>PLUS</u> Azithro/Doxy OR
- Azithromycin 2 g

PLUS

Test of cure



Vol. 61 / No. 31 August 10, 2012 Current Tobacco Use Among Middle and High School Students —

Tobacco use continues to be the leading preventable cause of death and disease in the United States, with nearly 443,000 deaths occurring annually because of cigarette smoking and exposure to secondhand smoke (J). Moreover, nearly 90% of adult smokers begin smoking by age 18 years (2). To asses current tobacco use among youths, CDC analyzed data from the 2011 National Youth Tobacco Survey (NYTS). This report describes the results of that analysis, which indicated that, in 2011, the prevalence of current tobacco use among middle school and high school students was 7.1% and 23.2%, respectively, and the prevalence of current cigarette use was 4.3% and 15.8%, respectively. During 2000–2011, among middle school students, a linear downward trend was observed in the prevalence of current tobacco use (14.9% to 7.1%), current combustible tobacco use (14.0% to 6.3%), and current cigette use (10.7% to 4.3%). For high school students, a linear lownward trend also was observed in these measures (curren tobacco use [34.4% to 23.2%], current combustible tobacco use [33,1% to 21.0%], and current cigarette use [27.9% to 15.8%]). Interventions that are proven to prevent and reduce tobacco use among youths include media campaigns, limiting advertisements and other promotions, increasing the price of tobacco products, and reducing the availability of tobacco products for purchase by youths. These interventions should continue to be implemented as part of national comprehensive tobacco control programs and should be coordinated with Food and Drug Administration (FDA) regulations restricting the sale, distribution, and marketing of cigarettes and smokeless

and Drug Automation (FOA) regulations restricting the skel, distribution, and marketing of cjagrettes and smoleless tobacco products to youths (2–6). NYTS is a school-based, sdf-administered, penci-and-paper questionnaire given to middle school (grades 6–6) and high school (grades 9–12) students to collect information on key habacco control netrome indicenses used to monitor the innexe

tobacco control outcome indicators used to monitor the impact of comprehensive tobacco control policies and programs (e.g., prevalence of tobacco use and smoking cessation, tobaccorelated knowledge and attitudes, access to tobacco, exposure to tobacco advertising and promotions, and secondhand smoke exposure). The survey has been conducted approximately every 2 years ince 2000. The 2011 NVTS used a three-stage cluster sampling procedure to generate a cross-sectional, anionally representative sample of vuidents in grades 6–12 from all 50 states and the District of Columbia. Out of the 214 schools selected, 178 (83.2%) participated:

Our of the 214 schools selected, 178 (82.3%) participated this resulted in a sample of 1.8.866 (74.9%) out of 21.53% transforms. In 2011, the overall response trav way 72.7% from Respondents way called a bott that in our of cigarettes, equitop, permitting equivalent that the selection of the selection theorem (p_{2} , permitting equivalent), and letteds (elsevic), methods to the selection of the selection object, current turns and field as the selection of a selection of the 20 days. Current tobacco use was defined as current use of any "Administration of the selection of t

*Additional information available at http://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/tobaccocontrol_programwarvefluor_evaluation/key_outcome/pdf/bonematerial.pdf. Under the Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act, kretels (dove cigaretter) are banned.

INSIDE

586 Interim Guidance for Clinicians Considering the Use of Preexposure Prophylaxis for the Prevention of HIV Infection in Heterosexually Active Adults

590 Update to CDC's Sexually Transmitted Diseases Treatment Guidelines, 2010: Oral Cephalosporins M Longer a Recommended Treatment for

Gonococcal Infections 595 Vital Signs: Walking Among Adults — United State 2005 and 2010

2005 and 2010 602 Announcement 603 QuickStats

nd programs (e.g.,

United States, 2011

Continuing Education examination available at http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/cme/conted_info.html@weekh

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Centers for Disease Control and Prevention



New Systemic Antibacterial Agents Approved by the FDA, 1983–2007



Spellberg B, Guidos R, Gilbert D et al. Clin Infect Dis 2008

Prevalence of Penicillin, Tetracycline and Fluoroquinolone Resistance, US, 1987–2010



Gonococcus Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing U.S. Public Health Laboratories, 2000–2007



Dicker et al. STD 2004,31(3).259-264 Dicker et al. STD 2007; 34(1):41-46 http://www.cdc.gov/std/general/LabSurveyReport-2011.pdf .

Azithromycin Susceptibility

In 2011, 0.3% isolates had elevated azithro M ICs (≥2.0 µg/ml)

- No clear temporal trends
- Macrolide resistance can emerge rapidly
- Have seen small number with reduced susceptibility/resistance in the US
 - San Diego: cluster of isolates from MSM with MICs 8–16
 - Hawaii: highly azithro-resistant infection (MIC > 512)
 - Portland:treatment failure after 2 g monotherapy (MICs 1,8)

CDC. MMWR2011 Katz ARet al. CID 2012 Soge O et al STD 2012

Yet, some recent cause for optimism



Recent developments

- No reported treatment failures yet in US
- Strains with elevated cephalosporin MICs do not appear to be more virulent or transmissible

Heightened awareness

- Media coverage (2011–present)
- High level of awareness by public health STD programs
- Increasing interest by drug developers
- GAIN Act (2012)
 - N.gonorrhoeaemight be qualifying pathogen

GC Dual Therapy Clinical Trial for Salvage Therapy (NCT00926796)

- NIAID/NIH and CDC collaboration
- Investigating efficacy of 2 combinations for treatment of uncomplicated urogenital gonorrhea
 - Gentamicin 240 mg IM and Azithromycin 2 g po
 - Gemifloxacin 320 mg po and Azithromycin 2 g po

Four clinical sites

- San Francisco, CA
- Birmingham, AL
- Pittsburgh, PA
- Los Angeles, CA

Enrollment completed; data being analyzed

Proportion of GISP isolates with Elevated Cefix in e M ICs (≥0.25 µg/ml), 2006–2012*



Where do we go from here? (Short-term)

Surveillance

- GISP
- Local GC detection & reporting
- Enhanced local surveillance for GCAMR?
- Clinician vigilance & reporting
- Ability of labs to culture for GC
- Program preparedness and response
- Basic gonorrhea control
- Ensure appropriate clinical management

August 2012		
CEPHALOSP	ORIN-RESISTANT	
NEISSERIA G	ONORRHOEAE	
PUBLIC HEA	LTH RESPONSE PLA	Ν





Where do we go from here? (Longer-term)

New antimicrobials or combinations

New diagnostic approaches

- Molecular detection of resistance determinants?
- POCtests?

Exploration of genome sequencing

Vaccine?



Conclusions

- Emerging threat of cephalosporin-resistance N. gonorrhoeae
- Ceph RNG would severely complicate treatment
- Spread of resistance might be slowed by
 - Aggressive treatment
 - Prompt programmatic response
 - Driving down GC morbidity
- Local efforts are critical
- Preparedness now can enhance response later
- New treatment options urgently needed

Acknowledgements

CDC

- Hillard Weinstock
- Sarah Kidd
- John Papp
- David Trees
- Alesia Harvey
- Gail Bolan
- Kevin Pettus
- Samera Bowers
- Steve Shapiro

GISP Clinical Sites

Englewood and Lakeview clinics

- GISP Pls
- Ned Hook
- Olusegun (S.O.) Soge
- □ King Holmes
- Carlos del Rio
- Susan Harrington
- Susan Tanksley & Grace Kubin

Resources

www.cdc.gov/std

- CDC Cephalosporin-Resistant Neisseria gonorrhoeae Public Health Response Plan
- Updated gonorrhea treatment guidelines (MMWR, 2012)
- STD Surveillance Report, 2011 (contains aggregate GISP data)
- GISP home page (<u>www.cdc.gov/std/gisp</u>)
 - Annual site-specific profiles



For more information please contact Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

1600 Clifton Road NE, Atlanta, GA 30333 Telephone: 1-800-CDC-INFO (232-4636)/TTY: 1-888-232-6348 E-mail: cdcinfo@cdc.gov Web: http://www.cdc.gov

The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.



National Center for HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, STD, and TB Prevention Division of STD Prevention

Percentage of Isolates with Elevated MICs or Resistance by Sex of Sex Partner, 2005–2010

Antibiotic	MSM n=8,117	MSW n=26,483	ρ
Ceftriaxone*	0.4	0.1	<0.01
Cefixime**	1.7	0.2	<0.01
Azithromycin [†]	0.9	0.2	<0.01
Tetracycline [†]	37.5	13.3	<0.01

* $\ge 0.125 \,\mu g/m \, 1$ ** $\ge 0.25 \,\mu g/m l$ † $\ge 2.0 \,\mu g/m l$

Kirkcaldy RD et al. Annals Internal Medicine 2013

Gonorrhea—Rates by County, United States, 2011

